# **PSM Microbiology**

2017 | Volume 2 | Issue 3 | Pages 78-79

ISSN: 2518-3834 (Online)



www.psmpublishers.org

## **Editorial**

Open Access

# Antagonism in Rhizobacteria: Application for Biocontrol of Soilborne Plant Pathogens

Muhammad Naeem Iqbal<sup>1,3</sup>, Asfa Ashraf<sup>2,3</sup>

Received: 15.Nov.2017; Accepted: 24.Nov.2017; Published Online: 30.Nov.2017
\*Corresponding author: Muhammad Naeem Igbal; Email: drigbalnaeem@hotmail.com



Cite this article: Iqbal, M.N., Ashraf, A., 2017. Antagonism in Rhizobacteria: Application for Biocontrol of Soil-borne Plant Pathogens. PSM Microbiol., 2(3): 78-79.

### **EDITORIAL**

Rhizobacteria play an important role in plant defense and could be promising sources of biocontrol agents. Antagonism between soil microorganisms is a common phenomenon. A number of investigators' have documented antagonism of fungi, actinomycetes and true bacteria to root rot pathogens (Ortiz-Castro et al., 2009). Soil bacteria, particularly rhizospheric ones with antagonistic properties, reveal biological control effectiveness to some plant diseases, and are the most likely for development of biological control agents (BCAs) (Essghaier et al., 2009).

The rhizosphere of plant is a zone of intense microbial activity, and some bacteria from this zone, termed rhizobacteria, exhibit active root colonization in the presence of the existing native microfolora. Various species of rhizospheric bacteria like Pseudomonas, Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Klebsiella, Enterobacter. Arthrobacter, Bacillus and Serratia and endophytic bacteria Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Xanthomonas and Erwinia have been reported to be associated with soil crops. Bacteria are estimated to occupy between 7% and 15% of the total root surface area (Gray and Smith, 2005). Rhizo-bacteria that exert beneficial effects on plant development are referred to as plant growth-promoting rhizo-bacteria (PGPR) (Kloepper and Schroth, 1978) because their application is often associated with stimulation of plant growth. It was found that bacteria that produced more compounds associated to phytopathogen biocontrol and/or plant growth had a higher efficacy for biocontrol (Mota et al., 2017).

Biological control, a bioeffector method with other living organisms to control pests (insects, mites, weeds, and plant diseases) (Flint *et al.*, 1998), has been considered as effective approaches. The use of biological control

measure is one of the best strategies available to combat the pests and diseases in an ecofriendly manner and much experimental work is being carried out all over world to assess its commercial acceptability and applicability.

Interest in biological control of plant pathogens has increased considerably over the past years, partly as a response to public concern about the use of hazardous chemical pesticides (Raaijmahers *et al.,* 2002). Most approaches for biocontrol of plant diseases have used single biocontrol agents as antagonists to a single pathogen (Siddiqui *et al.,* 2000). It is likely that most cases of naturally occurring biological control result from mixtures of antagonists for example, mixture of antagonists are considered to account for protection in disease-suppressive soils (Lemanceau and Alabouvette, 1991).

Biological control offers an important alternative to synthetic chemicals. The use of bacteria like Pseudomonas sp., Bacillus sp., and various other bacteria has been investigated because of their properties to produce antifungal metabolites and protect plants from fungal infection (Gupta and Kaushal, 2017). Bacillus sp. is considered safe biological agent and their potential as biocontrol agent is considered to be high (Kim et al., 2004; Etesami and Alikhani, 2017). Bacteria are also used for biocontrol of other bacterial or fungal pathogen, viruses and nematodes (Shalaby and Sedik, 2008; Alsohiby et al., 2016; Murphy ET AL., 2000; Saman, 2009). The mechanisms of biocontrol adopted by rhizobacteria include the production of antibiotics, bacteriocins, siderophores, hydrolytic enzymes and other metabolites, phytoalexins production, interference in quorum sensing, reduction in ethylene production, and induction of systemic resistance.

A comparative study was conducted and *Bacillus* thuringiensis isolates were identified from different localities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The School of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The School of Life Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Pakistan Science Mission (PSM), Noor Kot 51770, Pakistan.

of city Gujranwala which could be used as biocontrol agents (Yunus et al., 2016a). Similarly from other investigations B. subtilis isolates were found to produce protease enzyme (Yunus et al., 2017a) and Esterase enzyme (Yunus et al., 2017b). A total of 56 isolates, belonging to four different strains of antibiotic producing bacteria; B. subtilis (30), B. licheniformis (9), Streptomyces (12) and Actinomycetes (5) were found from soil samples. These results suggest that soil isolates, having antibiotic producing capability can be used commercially after proper standardization (Yunus et al., 2016b).

To improve efficacy of biological control, however, understanding of the mechanisms of action, nutrition, and ecology of biocontrol agents is needed. Such knowledge will lead to substantial progress in selection of superior strains, mass production, and appropriate formation of biocontrol organisms (Fravel, 1988). In vivo biocontrol agent selection is not a simple task owing to the diversity of agents and interactions with the host plant. Thus, it is required to develop efficient selection approaches to diminish costs and increase the possibility of choosing organisms that can be produced in a large scale at low cost and that retain their viability and efficiency for long periods.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

There is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Alsohiby, F.A.A., Yahya, S., Humaid, A.A., 2016. Screening of Soil Isolates of Bacteria for Antagonistic Activity against Plant Pathogenic Fungi. PSM Microbiol., 01(1): 05-09.
- Essghaier, B., Fardeau, M.L., Cayol, J.L., Hajlaoui, M.R., Boudabous, A., Jijakli, H., Sadfi-Zouaoui, N., 2009. Biological control of grey mould in strawberry fruits by halophilic bacteria. J. Appl. Microbiol., 106(3): 833-846.
- Etesami, H., Alikhani, H.A., 2017. *Bacillus* species as the most promising bacterial biocontrol agents in rhizosphere and endorhiza of plants grown in rotation with each other. Eur. J. Plant Pathol., https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-017-1276-8
- Flint, M.L., Dreistadt, S.H., Clark, J.K., 1998. Natural Enemies Handbook: the illustrated guide to biological pest control. University of California Press, Oakland.
- Fravel, D.R., 1988. Role of antibiosis in the biocontrol of plant diseases. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 26: 75-91.
- Gray, E.J., Smith, D.L., 2005. Intracellular and extracellular PGPR: commonalities and distinctions in the plant–bacterium signaling processes. Soil Biol. Biochem., 37:395-412.
- Gupta, S., Kaushal, R., 2017. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: Bioresouce for Enhanced Productivity of

- Solanaceous Vegetable Crops. Acta Sci. Agric. 1(3): 10-15.
- Kim, P.I., Bai, H., Bai, D., Chae, H., Chung, S., Kim, Y., Park, R., Chi, Y.T., 2004. Purification and characterization of a lipopeptide produced by *Bacillus* thuringiensis CMB26. J. Appl. Microbiol., 97(5): 942-979.
- Kloepper J.W., Schroth, M.N., 1978. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Plant Pathogenic Bacterial. INRA; Angers. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on radishes; pp. 879-882.
- Lemanceau, P., Alabouvette, C., 1991. Biological control of Fusarium diseases by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and non-pathogenic *Fusarium*. Crop Prot., 10: 279-286.
- Mota, M.S., Gomes, C.B., Souza Júnior, I.T., Moura, A.B., 2017. Bacterial selection for biological control of plant disease: criterion determination and validation. Braz. J. Microbiol., 48(1): 62-70. doi:10.1016/j.bjm.2016.09.003.
- Murphy, J.F., Zehnder, G.W., Schuster, D.J., Sikora, E.J., Polston, J.E., Kloepper, J.W., 2000. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacterial mediated protection in tomato against tomato mottle virus". Plant Dis., 84(7): 779-784.
- Ortiz-Castro, R., Contreras-Cornejo, H. A., Macias-Rodríguez, L., Lopez-Bucio, J., 2009. The role of microbial signals in plant growth and development. Plant Signal. Behav., 4(8): 701–712.
- Raaijmakers, J.M., Vlami, M., de Souza, J.T., 2002. Antibiotic production by bacterial biocontrol agents. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 81(1-4): 537-547.
- Saman, A., 2009. Efficacy of combine use of biocontrol agents on control of *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani* of *Capsicum annuum*. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot., 42(3): 221-227.
- Shalaby, M.E.M., Sedik, M.Z., 2008. Biocontrol activity of some bacterial isolates against *Meloidogyne incognita*. Egyptian J. Biol. Pest Cont., 18(1): 119-125.
- Siddiqui, I.A., Ehteshamal-Haque, S., Ghaffar, A., 2000. Greenhouse evaluation of rhizobia as biocontrol agent of root-infecting fungi in Okia. Acta Agrobot., 53: 13-22.
- Yunus, F.N., Kanwal, F., Rashid, F., Ashraf, A., Iqbal, M.N., Xiao, S., 2016a. A Comparative Study on Isolation and Identification of *B. thuringiensis* from Different Localities of Gujranwala City. PSM Biol. Res., 1(1): 34-38.
- Yunus, F.N., Malik, H., Hadi, S., Shahzad, M.I., Irfan, M., Ali, S., Muhammad, A., 2017a. Isolation and Identification of Protease Enzyme Producing *Bacillus subtilis* from Soil. PSM Microbiol., 2(1): 15-19.
- Yunus, F.N., Saeed, H., Rashid, F., Iqbal, M.N., Ashraf, A., 2017b. Isolation and Identification of Esterase Producing *Bacillus subtilis* from Soil. PSM Microbiol., 2(2): 24-28.
- Yunus, F.N., Khalid, Z.Z., Rashid, F., Ashraf, A., Iqbal, M.N., Hussain, F., 2016. Isolation and Screening of Antibiotic producing Bacteria from Soil in Lahore City. PSM Microbiol., 01(1): 01-04.