International Journal of
Nanotechnology and Allied Sciences
2017 │Volume 1│Issue 1│Pages 1-15
ISSN: 2523-9252 (Online)

www.psmpublishers.org

Review Article Open access

A Review on Nanofluid Impingement Jet Heat Transfer

Amr Mostafa Darwish[1], Abdel-Fattah Mohamed Ramadan El-Kersh[1], Mohamed Naguib El-Sheikh[2], Ibraheem Mahmoud El-Moghazy[1]

[1]Mechanical Power Department, Faculty of Engineering, El-Minia University, Egypt
[2]Mechanical Production Department ,Faculty of Industrial Education, Beni-Suef University, Egypt.

Received: 31.Jun.2017; Accepted: 17.Sep.2017; Published: 23.Oct.2017
***Corresponding author: AmrMostafaDarwish; Email: im_perfect_one@yahoo.com**

Abstract
This paper presents overview of experimental investigations and numerical developments using single or multiple nanofluid jet impingement on a hot surface as a heat transfer enhancement technique which employed in many industrial applications. Jet impingement systems can be classified as: confined, semi-confined and unconfined jet. Nanofluid can enhance heat transfer process due to its thermal transport properties of the base fluid, increase the surface area and heat capacity of the fluid and the thermal conductivity. The results of heat transfer enhancement, fluid flow characteristics and effects of nanofluidjet impingement geometrical parameters were presented and analyzed from the previous studies. Nanofluid preparations, its physical and thermal properties with correlations are also presented.
Keywords: Heat transfer enhancement, fluid flow, jet impingement, nanofluid, single jet, multiple jets.

Cite this article: Darwish, A.M., Elkersh, A.M.R., Elsheikh, M.N., Elmoghazy, I.M., 2017. A Review on Nanofluid Impingement Jet Heat Transfer. Int. J. Nanotechnol. Allied Sci., 1(1): 1-15.

INTRODUCTION

Heat transfer enhancement is one of the main issues in many industrial applications which include: increasing the heat transfer efficiency, decreasing the cost, size and weight of the cooling or heating systems. Lasance (1997) reported the cooling techniques of electronics cooling and presented a relation of heat transfer coefficient with the corresponding cooling technique as shown in Figure 1. It is clear that, liquid cooling methods (heat pipes, cold plates, spray cooling, immersion cooling and jet impingement) enhance heat transfer more than air cooling (piezo fans, synthetic jet cooling, air jets and nanolighting) and conventional cooling (natural and forced convection). Also, the highest heat transfer coefficients can be achieved by boiling and condensation followed by jet impinging in which heat transfer rate is three times higher than the conventional cooling techniques (Jambunathan *et al.,* 1992). Jet impingement (gas or liquid) as one of the active heat transfer enhancement techniques has received considerable attention because it provides large localized heat and mass transfer for a lot of applications such as; electronics cooling, drying of papers and textiles, food processing, processing of metal and plastic, glass tempering, gas turbine cooling (Figure 2).

Jet impingement techniques

Liquid jets can be classified as shown in Figure 3 as free surface, confined, plunging, wall (free surface) and submerged jet impingement. The submerged jet is formed when liquid jet is discharged in to the same liquid medium while the free surface jet if formed when a liquid is discharged in a gas medium. Jet impingement systems can also be classified as: confined, semi confined and unconfined (free surface) jet. In the confined jet impingement, the fluid can get recirculated and be entrained back into the impinging jet, this causes the formation of recirculation zones in the outlet flow regions (Fitzgerald and Garimella, 1998). In the unconfined jet the heated fluid is not return back into the jet which interacts with the ambient air leading to higher heat transfer coefficients (Lupton *et al.,* 2008). The semi-confined jets have characteristics of both confined and unconfined jets. The selection of liquid jet impingement type is depending on the industrial application.

In addition, the flow of the jet may be dissipated laminar jet at (Re_{jet}<300), fully laminar jet (300<Re_{jet}<1000), transition jet (1000<Re_{jet}<3000) and fully turbulent (Re_{jet}>3000) based on nozzle diameter and velocity at the nozzle exit (Gauntner *et al.,* 1970). Turbulent jets demonstrate superior heat and mass transfer characteristics compared with laminar jets. Figure 4 shows the flow field of an impinging jet and can be analyzed in to three regions:

Free jet region: the jet from nozzle spreads and may be fully developed or not as it reaches the stagnation point according to the jet to surface distance. This region may be divided to three parts; potential core, developing flow and fully developed part. In the potential core, the velocity is constant and equal to the velocity at the nozzle exit. In the developing flow part, there is a decay of the centerline velocity followed by a fully developed flow part where the velocity profiles are similar, the length of this region depends on the jet shape, nozzle exit conditions and nozzle to plate spacing.
Stagnation (impingement) region: the flow is subjected to a strong curvature and very high strain due to the presence of the physical boundary (impingement wall). This region extends to the point where the pressure gradients on the target plate are zero.
Wall-jet region: in this region the boundary layer thickness increases while the flow is leaving the stagnation region.



Fig. 1. Relation of heat transfer coefficient with the corresponding cooling technique. (Lasance, 1997)



Fig. 2. Impingement jet industrial applications.



Fig. 3. Different types of jet impingement.



Fig. 4. A schematic representation of jet impingement flow fields.

Investigated jet impinging parameters

There are many reviews focused on the history of impinging jets on a surface. Early reviews were made by Martin (1977), Button and Wilcook (1978), Hrycak (1981), Button *et al.* (1989), Jambunathan *et al.* (1992) and Jambunathan *et al.* (1994). Recent reviews and investigations were conducted and summarized by Molan and Banooni (2013).

Effect of cross flow H/D_{jet} and X_n/D_{jet} investigated by Han and Goldstein (2001), Goldstein and Timmers (1982), Obot and Trabold 1987, Metzger and Korstad (1972), Kercher and Tabakoff (1970), Hollworth, and Cole (1987), Hollworth and Berry (1978), Florschuetz and Metzger (1984), Huang (1963), Koopman and Sparrow (1976), Florschuetz and Tseng (1985), Behbahani and Goldstein

(1983), Goldstein and Timmers (1982), Florschuetz et al. (1981), Friedman and Mueller (1951) Allande et al. (1961), Gardon and Cobonpue (1962), Chance (1974), Florschuetz et al. (1980), Saas et al. (1980), Florschuetz et al. (1981), Zuckerman and Lior (2006), and Robinson and Schnitzler (2007).

Effect of curved and concave surfaces: studied by Metzger et al. (1969), Metzger and Korstad (1972), Dyban and Mazur (1970), Gau and Chung (1991), Kornblum and goldstein (1997), Taslim et al. (2000), lee et al. (1996), Bunker and Metzger (1990), Hrycak (1980), Founti (2004).

Effects of nozzle configurations: studied by Royne and Dey (2006), Geers et al. (2004), Geers et al.(2008), Whelan and Robinson (2009). Micro jets arrays investigated by Leland et al. (2002), Womac et al. (1994), Michna et al. (2011), Fabbri and Dhir (2005).

Other investigations of single jet and multi jets impingement experimental analysis of heat transfer and fluid flow were conducted by Wang et al. (2004), Rallabandi et al. (2010), Sagot et al. (2008), Womac et al. (1994), Paison (2010). Numerical studies were conducted by, Shariatmadar et al. (2016), Brakmann et al. (2015), Li et al. (2015), Isman *et al.* (2008), Shih and Lumley (1995), Hofman et al. (2007), Kubacki et al. (2011), Wan (2013), Zuckerman and Lior (2005).

Jet impingement using Nanofluid

The working fluid in liquid jet impingement system is usually water or ethylene glycol, these traditional fluids cannot meet the requirements of high heat flux removal because of its low thermal conductivity. To meet the needs of heat transfer enhancement, an innovative category of heat transfer fluid called nanofluid has been proposed with development of nanomaterials technology. Nanofluid is a mixture of nanoparticles with average size smaller than 100 nm, dispersed in the base fluid (water or ethylene glycol). Nanofluid jet impingement technique is considered as one of the compound heat transfer enhancement technique. Nanofluid can enhance heat transfer process due to the following features;

Enhance the thermal transport properties of the base fluid.

Increase the surface area and heat capacity of the fluids

Increase the thermal conductivity.

The interaction collision between particles is intensified and reduced particles clogging compared to conventional slurries.

The amount of heat transfer enhancement using nanofluids depends on some parameters such as; the nanoparticles size and type (Al_2O_3, ZnO, Fe_2O_3, CuO, SiO_2, TiO_2…..etc.) and nanofluid concentration (φ). Comparing milli, micro and nano fluids, the nanofluids show more stability and high thermal conductivity with negligible pressure penalty.

Nanofluids preparation

There are two essential strategies to prepare nanofluids: the single-step preparation technique and the two steps preparation technique. The single step direct evaporation method which simultaneously makes and disperses the nanoparticles directly into the base fluid (Figure 5). The two steps process which first makes nanoparticles and then disperses them in to the base fluid (Figure 6), in either case, a well-mixed,and uniformly dispersed is a must. For the single step or two step methods, the particles must be wetted by the medium and the agglomerations must be prevented. Since, the purity of the nanofluid is important. The most effective method of breaking and dispersing the powder in a fluid is through the application of ultrasonic vibration (also high speed stirring works well) for 12 hours or more. As an alternative for producing nanofluids, direct mixing of nanoparticles in the base fluids may be used. However, using these means of production would be necessary in order to obtain stable suspensions. A lot of surfactants and dispersants have been used in nanofluids systems which include organic acids, polymers surfactants and salts, these surfactants increase the stability of the nanofluid and decrease the re-agglomerations.



Fig. 5. Single step nanofluid preparation method.



Fig. 6. Two steps nanofluid preparation method

Nanofluids properties

After preparing a stable nanofluid, it is important to measure and calculate its thermal conductivity, viscosity and density. Physical and transport properties can be measured and validated by comparing the results with the previous studies correlations. There are a lot of measurement devices used for measuring physical and transport properties of the nanofluid such as; Visco lite 700 (hydramotion Ltd, http://www.hydramotion.com) for dynamic viscosity, DMA 35 N density meter (Anton-paar, http://www.anton-paar.com) for density and KD2 pro (Decagon, http://www.decagon.com) to measure the thermal conductivity. All of these measurement devices require a proper calibration.

Nanofluid concentration by volume can be calculated from:

$$\varphi = \frac{Volume\ of\ nanoparticles\ \times 100}{Volume\ of\ nanopartiles + Volume\ of\ base\ fluid} \quad (1)$$

The measured dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid can be validated by using the equations of Williams et al. (2008) and Wang et al. (1999):

$$\mu_{nf} = \mu_{bf} e^{4.98\varphi/(0.2092-\varphi)} \quad (2)$$

$$\mu_{nf} = \mu_{bf}(123\varphi^2 + 7.3\varphi + 1) \quad (3)$$

The nanofluid density can be calculated using the equation of Williams et al. (2008):

$$\rho_{nf} = \varphi\rho_{np} + (1-\varphi)\rho_{bf} \quad (4)$$

The thermal conductivity of the nanofluid can be calculated by using Williams et al. (2008) and of Hamilton and Crosser (1962) equations:

$$K_{nf} = K_{np}(1 + 4.5503\varphi) \quad (5)$$

$$K_{nf} = K_{bf}(4.97\varphi^2 + 2.72\varphi + 1) \quad (6)$$

Some experiments and measurements were conducted on the nanofluids to obtain the physical (dynamic viscosity, density) and thermal (thermal conductivity and specific heat) properties for different types of nanofluids to select the best heat transfer carrier. These studies were focused also on the preparation method of the nanofluids to get the maximum nanofluid stability for a long time. Masuda et al. (1993), measured the thermal conductivity of Al_2O_3-water nanofluid for 13 % volume fraction and particle size was 13 and 33 nm, they found a 30 % increase in thermal conductivity for Al_2O_3-water compared with water. Park et al. (1998) reported that the best concentration was 4.3 % for 13 nm alumina which gave an enhancement percentage of 32 %. Das et al. (2003) found that the best volume fraction was 4 % and the best particle size was 38 nm which delivered 25 % increase in thermal conductivity. Lee et al. (1999) found 10 % increase in thermal conductivity for 24.4 nm alumina in water with 4.3 concentration. Xie et al. (2003) found 20 % enhancement using 5 % nanofluid concentration and 60 nm size. Prasher et al. (2005) estimated that the best concentration of 0.5 % with 10 nm particle size which gave 100 % thermal conductivity enhancement. Krishnamurthy (2006) found 16 % enhancement for 20 nm size and 1 % concentration. Choi (1995) used 36 nm particles to obtain 60 % enhancement with 5 % concentration. Wang and Choi (1999) found 35 % enhancement for 10 % concentration and 23 nm size. Lee and Eastman (1999), found 10 % increase in thermal conductivity using 18.6 nm size and 4.3 % concentration. Chon et al. (2005 concluded that the best concentration and size were 4 % and 28.6 nm respectively which gave 36 % enhancement in thermal conductivity. Experimental and numerical investigations which conducted from 1995 to 2007 of nanofluids properties, preparation methods, measurements and applications were reported in Wang (2007). From the previous studies on heat transfer enhancement of nanofluids, it can be concluded that the thermal conductivity increases with increasing the nanofluid concentration.

Many studies about the nanofluid thermal and physical properties were reported by Shanthi et al. (2012) and Mohammed et al. (2011). It is clear from these studies that; particles sizes, nature of material, operating temperature, thermal conductivity and PH value of the base fluids, all have influence on the thermal conductivity of the nanofluids. The metallic nanoparticles (Cu, Al, Ag… etc.) in the base fluid have much higher thermal conductivity than oxides at the same concentration. Development, preparation methods, potential features, stability, Heat transfer performance, Thermal and rheological properties, friction loss and applications of the nanofluids till 2015 were reported in Solangi et al. (2015). Latest developments on the viscosity of nanofluids till 2012 were reported in Mahbubul et al. (2012), they also analyzed the effect of nanofluid temperature, particles size and shape, effect of volume fraction on heat and mass transfer of the nanofluids.

Nanofluid jet impingement experimental analyses

Heat transfer enhancement using nanofluid jet impingement was affected by; nanofluid concentration (volume fraction or mass fraction), nanoparticles type and size, and turbulence.

Zhou et al. (2015) investigated liquid jet impingement with the use of silver-water nanofluid, the target was a flat plate and finned plate. Nano particles size was 4.8 nm. The results show that, about 6.23, 9.24 and 17.53 % increasing in heat transfer coefficients were obtained at nanofluid weight fraction of .02 %, 0.08 % and 0.12 % respectively compared to the base fluid (water and surfactant) and increasing of 6.61 % compared to water as a base fluid. Average Nusselt number of the heat sink was higher than a flat plate. Kumar and Mulugeta (2014) studied inline array of jets impinging on a finned plate heat sink, Al_2O_3-water nonofluid used as impinging fluid, ultrasonic bath was used to disperse the nanoparticles in to the base fluid for 6 hrs. Two step method was used to prepare the nanofluid with φ=0.1 % concentration, nanoparticles properties were; size=50 nm, ρ_{np}=3800 kg/m^3, K_{np}= 40 W/m.K and Cp_{np}=773 J/kg.K, nanofluid properties were ρ_{nf}=1002.8 kg/m^3, K_{nf}=0.6148 W/m.K and Cp_{nf}=4174.06 J/kg.K. Target was consisted of an electronic heat sink with aluminum plate fins, two rows of plate fins with 29 mm×24 mm and 0.56 mm thickness, constant heat flux was the condition generated from the target. Jets plate contain 110 holes of D_{jet}=2.5 mm, H/D_{jet}=13, jet-to-jet spacing was 2 mm, Q=1.315 l/min to 2.778 l/min. They concluded that, adding nanoparticles to the water increase heat transfer coefficients (h) by 32.92 % at 0.1 % concentration of Al_2O_3-water, interface temperature between the test block and heater block reduced by 0.4 C with the use of nanofluid. Pressure drop increased by 6.6 % due to nanofluid impinging and this in turn lead to increase the pumping power. Tie et al. (2014) investigated jet impingement techniques which employed to enhance heat transfer on a flat plate. They conducted their experiments using four different Cu-water nanofluid concentrations; 0.17 % to 0.64 %. Five nozzles radial staggered arranged jets plates used in this study. Sodium dodecyl benzoic sulfate (SDBS) dispersant with two weight fractions was employed. Results showed that, using dispersant in the nanofluid enhance heat transfer greater than using nanofluid without dispersant. Chang and Yang (2013) investigated heat transfer and fluid flow of Al_2O_3-water nanofluid jet impingement with boiling condition using different additions concentrations. They found that, in case of evaporation and boiling of nanofluids, a nano-sorption layer is formed by nanoparticles on the hot surface and this is decreasing the cooling performance. They examined the heat transfer enhancement by applying ultrasonic vibration to the surface and they obtain an increasing in heat transfer cooling rate. Yousefi et al. (2013) performed an experimental analysis on heat transfer and fluid flow characteristics using single slot jet impinging vertically on a V-shaped plate. Al_2O_3-waternanofluid is used as a working fluid, φ=0.02 %, 0.05 %, 0.1 % and 0.15 %, Re_{jet}=1732 to 2719 (laminar flow). They stated that, low nanofluid concentration enhance heat transfer more than high concentrations. Local heat transfer coefficients enhanced by 11.7 % at φ=0.02 % and 21.7 % at φ=0.05 %. Maximum average heat transfer coefficient enhanced by 13.9 % compared to water. Jaberi et al. (2013) studied heat transfer enhancement using Al_2O_3-water nanofluid single jet impinging on a flat circular plate. Reynolds number varied from 4200 to 8200, the concentration by weight varied from 0.0198 % to 0.0757 %. Maximum enhancement was 50 % at Re_{jet}=4200 and 0.0597 % weight fraction. The value of enhancement still remained constant with increasing Reynolds numbers. Zheng et al. (2013) used nanofluids jet impingement technique to enhance cooling capacity inside the diesel engine. Cu, MgO and Al_2O_3-water nanofluids were used as a cooling liquid where impinging on the cylinder head. The results showed that, using nanofluids can eliminate heat from the high heat density regions more than the traditional fluids. The maximum enhancement was 110 %. Zeitoun and Ali (2012), studied heat transfer between single alumina-water nanofluid jet and a horizontal circular plate with different diameters, different jet flow rates and different nozzle diameters, the concentration was 0.66 and 10 %. They found that heat transfer carrier can enhance heat transfer process by using nanofluids, the Nusselt number increased up to 100 % for some higher concentrations, increasing the disk diameter decreases heat transfer coefficients. They compared their results of Nusselt number with the correlation equations of Zhao *et al.* (2002) and Teamah and Farahat (2003) and their results were in good agreement with the imperial formulas. Paisarn and somchai (2011) conducted experiments on electronics cooling using nanofluid jet impingement on a heat sink. Mass flowrate varied from 0.008 to 0.02 kg/s, D_{jet}= 1, 1.4 and 1.8 mm. results show that, the average surface temperature reduced by 3 % compared with water jet impingement and 6.25 % compared to conventional cooling systems. Nguyen et al. (2009) conducted their experiments using 36 nm Al_2O_3-water impinged on a flat horizontal and circular heated surface. The nozzle diameter was 3 mm, the distance between nozzle and heated pate varied as 2, 5 and 10 mm, Reynolds number range was varied from 3800 to 88000, Prandtl number varied from 5 to 10 and the nanofluid concentration was varied from 0 to 6 %. It was found that, high concentrations were not suitable for heat transfer enhancement purpose under the confined impinging jets. They stated that, using 2.8 % concentration of Al_2O_3-waternanofluid enhanced heat transfer coefficients at H=5 mm (intermediate height), while, heat transfer coefficients decreased at H=2 mm (very small height) or H=10 mm (large height). The reduction in heat transfer rate is due to the separation flow and the recirculation zones. Gherasim et al. (2009) conducted their experiments on a confined jet using Al_2O_3-water nanofluid (47 nm size), the jet Reynolds number range was 500 to 946 (laminar flow). They found that, the best concentration was 6 % and the Nusselt number increases with particle volume fraction and Reynolds number and decreases with increasing the disk spacing (H). Di Lorenzo et al. (2012) also investigated laminar flow jet slots impingement using alumina-water nanofluid (30 nm size), Re_{jet} was less than 500, the maximum increase of 32 % in terms of heat transfer coefficients was detected at 5 % volume fraction and H/W=8. Nguyen et al. (2008) studied impingement jet heat transfer and erosion effect using Al_2O_3-water nanofluid (36 nm particle size) with different concentration in a submerged jet, the range of Re_{jet}=1700 to 20000. They found that the best nanofluid concentration was 5 % which gave an enhancement of heat transfer of 72 %, they concluded that nanofluids have a potential to cause an early wear of mechanical components due to erosion. An experimental study by Liu and Qiu (2007) was conducted on free surface jet boiling heat transfer of CuO-water nanofluid jet impingement. Mass concentration varied from 0.1 % to 2 %, Re_{jet}=2.5 ×10^4 to 4×10^5, D_{jet}=4 mm. results show that critical heat flux (CHF) increased with increasing nanofluid concentrations up to 1 % and still constant for mass concentrations higher than 1 %. CHF increased by 25 % compared with water. A nano-sorption layer is formed on the surface during the jet boiling which reduced the surface roughness. Table 1 summarized parameters and results of the experimental investigations.

Table 1.Nanofluid jet impingement experimental investigations.

Authors	Jet type	D_{jet}	Re_{jet}	H/D_{jet} or H/W	Type/ Size (nm)	%φ 2	X_n/ D_{jet}	Single Or Arrays	Nu%	Notes
Zhu et al. (2015)	Confined	--	--	---	Silver-water 4.8 nm	02 %, 0.08 % and 0.12 % Wt %	--	Single	6.23, 9.24 and 17.53 %	Flat plate and finned plate
Kumar and Mulugeta (2014)	Free jet	110 holes of D_{jet}=2.5 mm	---	H/D_{jet}=13	Al_2O_3-water,50 nm	0.1 %	Xn=2 mm	inline array	32.92 % at φ=0.1 %	Pressure drop increased by 6.6 % due to nanofluid
Tie et al. (2014)	Confined	---	---	---	Cu-water 26 nm	0.17 % to 0.64 %	---	single	---	(SDBS) dispersant with two weight fractions was employed
Chang and Yang (2013)	Confined	---	----	---	Al_2O_3-water 27-43 nm.	0, 0.0001, 0.001 and 0.01 vol%	---	single	---	Jet impingement with boiling condition ultrasonic vibration to the surface increase heat transfer cooling rate
Yousefi et al. (2013)	Confined	---	1732 to 2719 (laminar flow)	---	Al_2O_3-water	0.02 %, 0.05 %, 0.1 % and 0.15 %	----	single slot	----	V-shaped plate Maximum average heat transfer coefficient enhanced by 13.9 %
Jaberi et al. (2013)	Confined	---	4200 to 8200	----	Al_2O_3-water	0.0198 % to 0.0757 % wt%	----	single	50 % at Re=4200 and 0.0597 % weight fraction	SDBS is used as a dispersant
Zheng et al. (2013)	Confined	----	----	----	Cu, MgO and Al_2O_3	----	----	single	110 %	-----
Zeitoun and Ali (2012)	Confined	---	----	---	Al_2O_3	0.66 and 10 %.	---	single	100 %	Horizontal circular plate with different diameters
Paisarn and somchai (2011)	Confined	1, 1.4 and 1.8 mm	---	distance nozzle-to-fins tip is 2.00 mm	TiO_2	----	----	array	temperatures obtained from the jet nanofluids impingement cooling system are 3.0%, 6.25% lower than water	Conducted an experiments on electronics cooling using nanofluid jet impingement on a heat sink
Nguyen et al. (2009)	Confined	3 mm	3800 to 88000	H=2, 5 and 10 mm	36 nm alumina-water	0 to 6 %	---	single	---	They concluded that , the highest surface heat transfer coefficients were obtained at φ=2.8 % and H=5 mm above the target
Gherasim et al. (2009)	Confined	---	500 to 946 (laminar flow)	---	Al_2O_3- water nanofluid (47 nm size)	0 to 8 %	---	single	---	The best concentration was 6 %
Di Lorenzo et al. (2012)	Confined	---	less than 500	---	alumina-water nanofluid (30 nm size)		---	Single slot	32 %	The best condititons5 % volume fraction and H/W=8
Nguyen et al. (2008)	Submerged	---	1700 to 20000	---	Al_2O_3-water nanofluid (36 nm particle size)	---	---	Single	72 %	The best nanofluid concentration was 5 %
Liu and Qiu(2007)	free surface jet	4 mm	2500 to 400,000	---	CuO- water nanofluid with particle size of 50 nm	0.1 % to 2 % Wt %	---	Single	---	The surface tension of the nanofluid was about 75 % higher than water, the CHF for nanofluid increased about 25 %

Nanofluid jet impingement numerical analyses

Yousefi et al. (2016) investigated heat transfer enhancement using Al_2O_3-waternanofluid jet impingement, the target temperature kept in 67̊ C and the jet temperature was 20̊C, single slot and confined jet was used, they installed obstacles in the channel with different angles (0˚ to 60̊), two dimensional simulation and k-ω turbulence model was employed . They concluded that the highest Nusselt number obtained at H/W=1. Increasing nanofluid volume fraction lead to increase the average Nusselt number and the optimum volume fraction found to be 6%. Increasing H/W leads to decrease the average Nusselt number. Maximum Nusselt number occurred at the stagnation point and its value increased by increasing the obstacle angle, however the average Nusselt number was decreased by increasing the angle from 0˚ to 15˚, while, the average

Nusselt number started to increase again at angles from 15° to 60°. Lam and Prakash (2016) performed a numerical investigation for the Al_2O_3-water nanofluid jet impinging in a channel with height H. Multiple heat sources of length were installed and used as a heat flux generator, the simulation was conducted using finite element method. Their results show that, main and secondary recirculation zones were obtained on the upper surface of the chancel for 800 $\geq Re_{jet} \geq$ 500 in the fourth heat source and, for $Re_{jet} \geq 800$ in the fifth heat source, these bubbles increase the heat accumulation on the wall. Maximum local Nusselt number obtained at the stagnation point and the minimum values of local Nusselt numbers were found in the secondary bubbles regions. Average Nusselt number increased with increasing Re_{jet} and φ and decreasing H/L. Teamah et al. (2015) investigated numerically and experimentally heat transfer and fluid flow on a flat plate exhibited to Al_2O_3-water nanofluid jet impingement, Re_{jet} varied from 3000 to 32000, φ varied from 0 % to 10 % at H/D_{jet}= 3. The effect Al_2O_3- TiO_3 and CuO in water on heat transfer and flow characteristics was studied. Their results showed a good agreement between numerical and experimental results. Heat transfer enhanced with increasing the nanofluid concentration, about 62 % increasing in the heat transfer coefficients was obtained at φ=10 % and Re_{jet}=24000 and with the use of CuO in water. Using CuO-water nanofluid enhanced heat transfer with about 12 % compared to Al_2O_3-water and TiO_3-water nanofluids. Interesting results were obtained by Senkal and Torii (2015), they investigated experimentally and numerically heat transfer and fluid flow of a multi free jet impingement cooling system using Al_2O_3-waternanofluid. Three jet arrangement configurations were considered (9, 17 and 25 radial staggered jets), target was a circular flat plate with the same diameter of the jets plate (31.5 mm), D_{jet}=1.5 mm, Re_{jet}=1300 to 6000, nanoparticle size= 31 nm, φ=0.5 %, 2% and 4.9%. They found that, heat transfer coefficients slightly enhanced at low alumina-water concentrations, but at higher alumina-water concentrations, no heat transfer enhancement was found compared with water, these undesirable results make authors to recommend that using alumina-water nanofluids is not suitable in jet arrays cooling systems. In addition they return the reduction in heat transfer to two reasons, first; the recirculation regions (formed between two adjacent jets) where the liquid entrapped and because of the thermal conductivity of the nanofluid is greater than water, the surface temperature increased which reduced the heat transfer coefficients in this zone. The second reason is the separation flow regions which increase the dynamic viscosity and lead to reduce the outflow and make it to accumulate in the recirculation zones. These results are in agreement with the findings of Nguyen et al. (2009). The comparison between experimental and numerical results showed a good agreement with small deviation at the low Reynolds number range (low jet velocity). Such deviation increased by increasing the jet velocity (high Reynolds number range), the authors return this difference to fluid splashing which is more significant in the experimental analysis than in the numerical simulation (increasing Reynolds number increase the splashing effect). Li et al. (2015) employed a confined single jet of Al_2O_3-waternanofluid impinging on a dimpled target to enhance heat transfer, Re_{jet}=10000 to 20000, φ varied from 0 % to 5 %, H/D_{jet}=6. The separation flow was found near the dimple edge and its effect decreased with increasing the jet velocity. Ahmadi et al. (2016) investigated Al_2O_3-water nanofluid jet impinging on a concave surface, standard k-ε turbulence model was employed. Their results show that, optimum H/D_{jet}=5. Increasing Reynolds number and nanofluid concentrations, increase the average Nusselt number. Pumping power increased as a result of the nanofluid viscosity. Dutta et al. (2016) investigated numerically heat transfer of Al_2O_3-water nanofluid jet impingement from a single slot jet on a flat plate, φ= 3 % to 6 %. Single phase model was employed, Reynolds number varied from laminar to turbulent. Target was kept at constant temperature of 40° C, 2-D flow simulation using RANS models (standard k-ε model, SST k-ωand v^2f) was employed. They found that, the average Nusselt number increased by 27 % for laminar flow and 22 % for turbulent flow at φ=6 %. Pumping power increased by increasing the nanofluid volume fraction. Generally, SST k-ωwith transitional flow correction turbulence model was the best, however, for H/D_{jet}**> 5**, standard k-ε with enhanced wall treatment turbulence model was the best. Selumfendigil and oztop (2014) performed a numerical study of pulsating rectangular jet on a flat plate. Their results show that, in steady case, maximum average Nusselt number increased by 18.8 % at φ=6 % and Re_{jet}=200. Maximum local Nusselt numbers were found at the stagnation point. In steady case, increasing volume fraction leads to increase the heat transfer enhancement, while in pulsating case the values of Nusselt numbers were found to be less than of steady case. Another important 2-D simulation using two-phase mixture model was conducted by Huang and Jang (2013). They used Al_2O_3-water nanofluid with different nozzle to plate distance, different volume fraction, different Reynolds number, local, average and stagnation point Nusselt numbers were calculated and they found that the highest local Nusselt number were obtained at the stagnation point and the lowest value was obtained at the end of heated plate. The average Nusselt increased with increasing the concentration and the best H/D_{jet} was 5. Heat transfer enhancement of 16 % was obtained at 5 % concentration. They concluded that, SST k-ω turbulence model is an appropriate to determine the average Nusseltnumber, therefore, it is recommended to use this model for applications with separating flow. Manca et al. (2013) investigated heat and mass transfer of Al_2O_3-waternanofluid slot jet impingement. Laminar flow range was considered and constant surface temperature was applied to the target. The domain length, L= 0.31 m, W=0.0062 m, H/W = 4 and 6 and the volume fraction varied from 0 % to 4 %. They found a 22 % maximum enhancement at φ= 4 % and H/W=6. Li *et al.* (2012) used nanofluid jet impingement to eliminate heat from the electronics devices of high heat flux. Cu-water nanofluid was employed as a cooling fluid and compared with water. Nanoparticles sizes were 25 nm and 100 nm, H/D_{jet}=1, 2, 3 and 4, φ=1.5%, 2%, 2.5% and 3 %. They concluded that, heat transfer enhanced by 52 % at φ= 3 %. Heat transfer enhancement using 25 nm size was more than using 100 nm size. Mitra et al. (2012) investigated experimentally and numerically the boiling phenomena of TiO_2-water and multi-walled carbon nanotubes-water (MWCNT-water) nanofluids multi-jet impingement on a hot steel plate. Laminar flow region was considered. The nozzle plate consisted of 91 nozzle of 2 mm length and 5 mm diameter, X_n=15 mm. the steel plate was 295×125× 4 mm thickness, T_s=927 ̊ C. TiO_2 size =20- 70 nm and 0.1 % volume fraction, MWCNT size=100-500 nm and 0.01 % volume fraction. The results show that, heat transfer enhancement using CNT is more than using TiO_2 in water nanofluid. The critical heat flux using nanofluids is lower than that of water but this result doesn't affect the cooling performance.

Armaghani et al. (2012) studied 2-D flow and heat transfer characteristics of plane jet, they used DNS (direct numerical simulation) model. Al_2O_3-water and CuO-water as a base fluid were employed as a heat transfer carriers, the concentration varied from 0 to 4 %, they found that Al_2O_3-water nanofluid enhance heat transfer greater than CuO for the same volume fraction and the turbulent intensities in Al_2O_3-water was found to be higher than that in CuO. Rahimi et al. (2012) conducted a 2-D flow simulation of Al_2O_3-waternanofluid confined jet impingement, forced convection of the nanofluid in a rectangular duct was considered, Re_{jet} varied from 25 to 275, φ=0% to 0.05 % . Results obtained a 60 % increase in average Nusselt number for Re_{jet}=275 and φ=0.05 %. Lorenzo *et al.* (2011) investigated numerically slot jet impingement using Al_2O_3-water nanofluid as a working fluid, φ=0 % to 5 %, two dimensional flow simulation was considered, W=6.2 mm, H/W=4 to 8, T_s=40 ̊ C (constant), Re=100 to 400. The results show that, 32 % maximum increase in average heat transfer coefficients was obtained at φ=5 % and H/W=8. Pumping power increased with increasing the nanofluid concentrations. Manca et al. (2011) studied heat transfer enhancement of a two-dimension nanofluid slot jet impingement using Al_2O_3-water with 38 nm particle size and different concentrations. The range of Reynolds number was 5000 -20000, distance between jet and hot surface was 24.8 to 124 mm, W= 6.2 mm, H/W varied as 4, 6, 8, 10, 15 and 20, and the domain length= 310 mm. Boundary condition of the heated plate was selected as a constant temperature (70℃) with no slip condition, velocity profile at the inlet jet section selected to be uniform,andthe single-phase model was selected. The results of the stream function shows that the vortex intensity and size depend on H/W ratios, Reynolds number, and volume fraction. Also, increasing nanofluid concentration causes an increase in the bulk temperature. The highest Nusselt number was obtained at the stagnation point and the lowest Nusselt number was obtained at the end of the heated plate, the best H/W was 10, the results obtained 18% increase in Nusselt number at 6 % volume fraction, pumping power increased with increasing Reynolds number and nanofluid concentration. Gherasim *et al.* (2011) investigated heat transfer in confined jet impingement using Al_2O_3-water nanofluid, they found that 6% volume fraction was the best value for 47nm nanofluid.

Yang and Lai (2010) used 47nm of 20% Al_2O_3-water nanofluid in laminar flow jet impingement and found 20% increase in Nusselt number for 10% concentration.Freng (2010) used Al_2O_3-water with 30 and 47 nm size with laminar flow jet impingement (Re_{jet}<800). It was found the best concentration was 4% andnanofluids are a smoother mixture flow fields when compared with water as a base fluid .Vaziei *et al.* (2009) studied heat transfer and fluid flow characteristics of the confined and submerged jet impinging on a flat plate, size= 36 nm of Al_2O_3-water, φ=2.8 % and 6 %. For laminar flow, the results showed that, the stagnation point Nusselt number increased by twice at H/D_{jet}=2. For turbulent flow, Nusselt decreased increasing Reynolds numbers. Confinement surface plays an important role in the heat transfer enhancement. Wang Xiangqi (2007) used different nanoparticles (Al_2O_3, CuO and CNT) and different volume fraction for laminar flow confined jet impinging. The best concentration for Al_2O_3-water found to be 10 % which gave 30 % increase in Nusselt number, the best concentration for CuO-water was 1 % to achieve 100% increase in Nusselt number and for CNT- water the best concentration was 10 % achieving 80 % increase in Nusselt number. Palm et al. (2006), investigated Al_2O_3-water nanofluid with 38 nm in laminar flow jet impingement (Re_{jet}=500 to 946), the best volume fraction was 7.5 % achieving 70 % Nusselt number. Roy (2004) used Al_2O_3-waternanofluid with different volume fraction, Reynolds number varied from 200 to 2470 (laminar flow) they found that 10 % concentration is the best value achieving 110 % heat transfer enhancement. Table 2 summarized parameters and results of the numerical investigations.

Table 2. Nanofluid jet impingement numerical investigations.

Authors	*Jet type*	D_{jet}	Re_{jet}	H/D_{jet} or H/W	*Type and Size (nm)*	*φ%*	X_n/D_{jet}	*Single Or Arrays*	*Nu%*	*Notes*
Yousefi et al. (2016)	Confined	---	---	the highest Nusselt number obtained at H/W=1	Al_2O_3-water	optimum volume fraction found to be 6%	---	single slot	---	k-ω turbulence model was employed
Lam and Prakash (2016)	Confined	---	100-1000	---	Al_2O_3-water	---	---	single	---	Maximum local Nusselt number obtained at the stagnation point and the minimum values of local Nusselt numbers were found in the secondary bubbles regions.
Teamah et al. (2015)	Confined	---	3000 to 32000	H/D_{jet}= 3 (constant)	Al_2O_3-TiO_3 and CuO in water	0 % to 10 %	---	single	---	Numerical + Experimental Using CuO-water nanofluid enhanced heat transfer with about 12 % compared to Al_2O_3 and TiO_3 in water nanofluids
Senkal and Torii (2015)	---	1.5 mm	1300 to 6000	---	Al_2O_3-water 31 nm	0.5 %, 2% and 4.9%	---	9, 17 and 25 radial staggered jets	---	Numerical + Experimental heat transfer coefficients slightly enhanced at low alumina-water concentrations
Li et al. (2015)	confined	---	10000 to 20000	H/D_{jet}=6	Al_2O_3-water	0 % to 5 %	---	Single	---	Dimpled target. The separation flow was found near the dimple edge and its effect decreased with increasing the jet velocity
Ahmadi et al. (2016)	confined	---	---	H/D_{jet}=2-10	Al_2O_3-water	---	---	Single	---	Concave surface. standard k-ε model optimum H/D_{jet}=5
Dutta et al. (2016)	Confined	---	Laminar + turbulent	---	Al_2O_3-water	3 % to 6 %.	----	single slot	27 % for laminar flow and 22 % for turbulent flowat φ=6 %	Standard k-ε model, SST k-ωand v^2f
Selumfendigil and oztop (2014)	Confined	---	100- 400	---	Al_2O_3-water	Optimum concentration0%, 3 %, 6 %	---	single	18.8 % at φ=6 % and Re=400	Pulsating rectangular jet
Huang and Jang (2013)	confined	---	---	best H/D_{jet}=5	Al_2O_3-water	best φ=5	---	single	16 %	SST k-ω turbulence model is an appropriate to determine the average Nusselt number
Manca et al. (2013)	Confined	W=0.0062 m	Laminar flow	H/W = 4 and 6	Al_2O_3-water	0 % to 4 %	---	Slot single jet	22 %	22 % maximum enhancement at φ= 4 % and H/W=6
Li et al. (2012)	Confined	---	---	H/Djet=1, 2, 3 and 4	Cu-water 25 nm and 100 nm	φ=1.5%, 2%, 2.5% and 3 %	---	single	52 %	Heat transfer enhanced by 52 % at φ= 3 %. Heat transfer enhancement using 25 nm size was more than using 100 nm size
Mitra et al. (2012)	Confined	5 mm	---	---	TiO_2-water size =20-70 nm and MWCNT-water size=100-500 nm	TiO_2 0.1 % MWCNT 0.01 %	---	91 nozzle of 2 mm length	---	Experimental and Numerical. The critical heat flux (CHF) using nanofluids is lower than that of water but this result doesn't affect the cooling performance
Armaghani et al. (2012)	Confined	---	---	---	Al_2O_3 and CuO in water	0 to 4 %	---	single	---	they found that Al_2O_3-water nanofluid enhance heat transfer greater than CuO
Rahimi et al.	Confined	---	25 to 275	---	Al_2O_3-	0% to 0.05 %	---	single	%60	Forced convection of

(2012)					water					the nanofluid in a rectangular duct. 60 % increase in average Nusselt number for Re_{jet}=275 and φ=0.05 %.
Lorenzo et al. (2011)	Confined	W=6.2 mm	100 to 400	H/W=4 to 8	Al_2O_3-water	φ=0 % to 5 %		Single slot	32 %	32 % maximum increase in average heat transfer coefficients was obtained at φ=5 % and H/W=8
Manca et al. (2011)	Confined	W=6.2 mm	5000 to 20000	H=24.8 to 124 mm, H/W varied as 4, 6, 8, 10, 15 and 20	Al_2O_3-water 38 nm	--	--	Single slot	18%	The best H/W was 10, the results obtained 18% increase in Nusselt number at 6 % volume fraction
Gherasim et al. (2011)	Confined	---	---	---	Al_2O_3 in water 47nm	0% to 10 %	--	single	---	6% volume fraction was the best value for 47nm nanofluid
Yang and Lai (2010)	Confined	---	laminar flow		Al_2O_3 water 47nm	20%	---	single	20%	---
Freng (2010)	---	---	Re_{jet}<800	---	Al_2O_3 water with 30 and 47 nm	---	---	---	---	He found that the best concentration was 4%
Vaziei et al. (2009)	confined and submerged	---	---	--	Al_2O_3-water 36 nm	2.8 % and 6 %.	---	---	---	the stagnation point Nusseltnumber increased by twice at H/D_{jet}=2
Wang Xiangqi (2007)	Confined	---	---	---	Al_2O_3, CuO and CNT	---	---	--	Al_2O_3-water 30 % Al_2O_3-water 30 % CuO-water 100% CNT- water 80 %	---
Palm et al. (2006)	Confined	---	500 to 946	--	Al_2O_3-water with 38 nm	----	---	---	---	the best volume fraction was 7.5 % achieving 70 % Nusselt number
Roy et al. (2004)	---	---	200 to 2470	--	Al_2O_3-water	---	---	---	---	they found that 10 % concentration is the best value achieving 110 % heat transfer enhancement

CONCLUSIONAND RECOMMENDATIONS

Heat transfer enhancement using jet impinging technique was investigated either experimentally or numerically in order to find the effects of some geometrical and flow parameters on heat transfer characteristics. These parameters can be concluded as: geometry of the nozzle (circular or slot jets) and shape (long or short, straight or contoured nozzles), ratio of heated- plate- to jet distance (H) to slot width (W) for slot jets or nozzle diameter (D_{jet}) for circular jets, jet orientation (vertical, horizontal or angled), target shape (circular, rectangular or square). This also includes single jet or multi jets and effect of jet-to-jet spacing dimensionless number (X_n/D_{jet}), flow type (laminar or turbulent), range of Reynolds number (Re_{jet}), effect of cross flow (minimum, intermediate and maximum cross flow), effect of spent fluid, effect of free and submerged jets, effect of confined and unconfined jets and effects of hole arrangement (inline or staggered).

A few number of studies were focused on the square inline arrays of impinging jets with the use of nanofluids and there isn't any previous investigations were conducted using square staggered arrays so; its highly recommended for future work to investigate either experimentally or numerically , heat transfer and fluid flow for inline and staggered arrays of nanofluid jet impingement.

ABBREVIATIONS

D	Dimension	
D_{jet}	Jet diameter	m
H	Jet-to-target distance	m
H	Heat transfer coefficient	W/m^2 ˚C
K	Thermal conductivity	W/m ˚C
k	Turbulent kinetic energy	
Nu	Nusselt number	
Pr	Prandtl number	
Q	Volume flow rate	L/min
Re_{jet}	Jet Reynolds number	
T	Temperature	˚C

T_s	Surface temperature	˚C
W	Slot width	m
wt %	Nanofluid concentration by weight	
X_n	Jet-to-jet distance	m
Subscript		
Nf	Nanofluid	
Np	Nano particles	
S	Surface	
W	Wall	
Greek Symbols		
Μ	Dynamic viscosity	Pa.s
Φ	Nanofluid volume fraction	
Ω	The specific dissipation	
ε	Dissipation rate	
ρ	Density.	Kg/m^3
Abbreviations		
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics	
CHF	Critical heat flux	W/m^2
PH	Power of hydrogen	
RANS	Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes	
SST	Shear stress transport	

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Ahmadi, H., Moghari, R.M., Esmailpour, K., Mujumdar, A.S., 2016.Numerical investigation of semi-confined turbulent slot jet impingement on a concave surface using an Al_2O_3-water nanofluid.applied mathe Appl. Math. Model., 40(2): 1110-1125.

Allende, M.F., Barnes, G.H., Levy, S.W. O'Reilly, W.J., 1961. The Bacterial Flora of the Skin of Amputation Stumps. J. Investigative Dermatol, 36(3): 165-166.

Armaghani, T., Maghrebi, M. J., Talebi, F., 2012. Effects of nanoparticle volume fraction in hydrodynamic and thermal characteristics of forced plane jet. Journal of Thermal Sci., 16 (2): 455-468.

Behbahani, A. I., Goldstein, R. J., 1983. Local heat transfer to staggered arrays of impinging circular air jets. J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power, 105(2): 354-360.

Brakmann, R., Chen, L., Weigand, B., Crawford, M., 2015, June. Experimental and Numerical Heat Transfer Investigation of an Impinging Jet Array on a Target Plate Roughened by Cubic Micro Pin Fins. In ASME Turbo Expo 2015: Turbine Technical Conference and Exposition. V05AT11A002-V05AT11A002. American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Bunker, R.S., Metzger, D.E., 1990. Local Heat Transfer in Internally Cooled Turbine Airfoil Leading Edge Regions: Part I—Impingement Cooling Without Film Coolant Extraction. J. Turbomachinery, 112(3): 451-458.

Button, B.L., Wilcock, D., 1978. Impingement heat transfer—a bibliography 1890–1975. Previews Heat Mass Transfer, 4(3): 83-89.

Chance, J.L., 1974. Experimental Investigation of Air Impingement Heat-TnansferUder an Array of Round Jets. Tappi, 57(6): 108-112.

Chang, T.B., Yang, Y.K., 2014. Heat transfer performance of jet impingement flow boiling using Al2O3-water nanofluid. JMST, 28(4): 1559-1566.

Cho, H.H., Kim, K.M., Song, J., 2011. Applications of impingement jet cooling systems. Cooling Systems: Energy, Engineering and Applications, first ed., Nova Publishers, New York.

Choi, S.U.S ,1995. Development and applications of Non-Newtonian flows, Ed. D. A. Singiner and H.P. Wang, ASME, 66(4): 99–106.

Chon, C.H., Kihm. K.P, Lee. S.P, Choi S.U.S., 2005. Empirical correction finding the role of temperature and particle size for nanofluid (Al_2O_3) thermal conductivity enhancement. Appl. Phy. Lett., 87(15): 1507-1531.

Das, S.K., Putra. N., Thiesen.P, Roetzel W., 2003. Temperature dependence of thermal Conductivity enhancement for nano fluids. Transactions of the ASME J. Heat Transfer, 125(4): 567-574.

Di Lorenzo, G., Manca, O., Nardini, S., Ricci, D., 2012. Numerical study of laminar confined impinging slot jets with nanofluids. AIME, Article ID 248795, DOI:10.1155/2012/ 248-795.

Dogruoz, M.B., ,Orgega, A., 2003. A Numerical Study of Turbulent Heat Transfer in Unconfined Impinging Slot Jets. ASME 2003 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition , American Society of Mechanical Engineers: 397-404.

Dutta, R., Dewan, A., Srinivasan, B., 2016. CFD study of slot jet impingement heat transfer with nanofluids. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: J. Mech. Eng. Sci., 230(2): 206-220.

Dyban, E.P., Mazur, A.I., 1970. Heat transfer from a flat air jet flowing into a concave surface. Heat transfer during plane air jet impact into concave surface with parabolic profile, determining specific thermal fluxes by electrocalorimetry. Heat Transfer - Sov. Res., 2(4): 15-20.

Ersayın, E., Selimefendigil, F., 2013. Numerical investigation of impinging jets with nanofluids on a moving plate. MCA, 18(3): 428-437.

Fabbri, M., Dhir, V.K., 2005. Optimized heat transfer for high power electronic cooling using arrays of microjets. J. Heat Transfer, 127(7): 760-769.

Feng, Y., Kleinstreuer, C., 2010. Nanofluid convective heat transfer in a paralleldisk system. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 53(4): 4619-4628.

Fitzgerald, J.A., Garimella, S.V., 1998. A study of the flow field of a confined and submerged impinging jet. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 41(8): 1025-1034.

Florschuetz, L.W., Metzger, D.E., 1984. Effects of initial crossflow temperature on turbine cooling with jet arrays. Heat and mass transfer in rotating machinery (A 86-24451 09-34). Washington, DC, Hemisphere Publishing Corp.: 499-510.

Florschuetz, L.W., Tseng, H.H., 1985. Effect of nonuniform geometries on flow distributions and heat transfer characteristics for arrays of impinging jets, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power, 107(1): 68-75.

Florschuetz, L.W., Metzger, D.E., Truman, C.R., 1981. Jet Array Impingement with Crossflow-Correlation of Streamwise Resolved Flow and Heat Transfer Distributions. ASME.

Florschuetz, L.W., Truman, C.R., Metzger, D.E., 1981. March. Streamwise flow and heat transfer distributions for jet array impingement with crossflow. In ASME 1981 International Gas Turbine Conference and Products Show (V003T09A005-V003T09A005).

Friedman, S.J., Mueller, A.C., 1951. Heat Transfer between a Flat Plate and Jets of Air Impinging on it, IMechE, London: 138-142.

Gardon, R., Cobonpue, J., 1962. Heat Transfer Between a Flat Plate and Jets of Air Impinging on It, Proceedings, 2nd International Heat Transfer Conference, ASME, New York, 454-460.

Gau, C., Chung, C.M., 1991. Surface curvature effect on slot-air-jet impingement cooling flow and heat transfer process. J. Heat Transfer, 113(4): 858-864.

Gauntner, James W., Livingood, J., Peter Hrycak, 1970. Survey of literature on flow characteristics of a single turbulent jet impinging on a flat plate. Washington, DC: 19.

Geers, L.F.G., Tummers, M.J., Bueninck, T.J., Hanjalić, K., 2008. Heat transfer correlation for hexagonal and in-line arrays of impinging jets, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 51(21): 5389-5399.

Geers, L.F., Tummers, M.J., Hanjalić, K., 2004. Experimental investigation of impinging jet arrays. Exp. Fluids, 36(6): 946-958.

Gherasim, I., Roy, G., Nguyen, C.T., Vo-Ngoc, D., 2009. Experimental investigation of nanofluids in confined laminar radial flows. Int. J. Thermal Sci., 48(1):1486-1493.

Gherasim, I., Roy, G., Nguyen, C.T., Vo-Ngoc, D., 2011. Heat transfer enhancement and pumping power in confined radial flows using nanoparticle suspensions (nanofluids). Int. J. Thermal Sci., 50(6): 369-377.

Goldstein, R.J., Timmers, J.F., 1982. Visualization of heat transfer from arrays of impinging jets. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 25(12): 1857-1868.

Guangbina, Y., Dejuna, G., Juhuia, C., Binga, D., Dia, L., Yea, S., Xib, C., 2000 .Experimental research on Heat transfer characteristics of CuO nanofluid in adiabatic condition.

Guo, D., Wei, J., Zhang, Y.H., 2011.Enhanced flow boiling heat transfer with jet impingement on micro-pin-finned surfaces. Appl. Therm. Eng., 31(11): 2042-2051.

Hamilton, R.L. ,Crosser, O.K., 1962. Thermal conductivity of heterogeneous two component systems. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.,1(3): 187-191.

Han, B., Goldstein, R.J., 2001. Jet-Impingement Heat Transfer in Gas Turbine Systems. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 934(1): 147-161.

Hofmann, H.M., Kaiser, R., Kind, M., Martin, H., 2007. Calculations of steady and pulsating impinging jets—an assessment of 13 widely used turbulence models. NUMER HEAT TR B-FUND, 51(6): 565-583.

Hollworth, B.R., Berry, R.D., 1978. Heat transfer from arrays of impinging jets with large jet-to-jet spacing. J. Heat Transfer, 100(2): 352-357.

Hollworth, B.R., Cole, G.H., 1987. Heat transfer to arrays of impinging jets in a crossflow. J. Turbomachinery, 109(4): 564-571.

Hrycak, Peter, 1981. Heat transfer from impinging jets, a literature review. NEW JERSEY INST OF TECH NEWARK.

http://www.decagon.com (Available, 18/8/2015)

http://www.flowmeters.com/turbine-technology (Available, 18/8/2015)

http://www.hydramotion.com (Available, 18/8/2015)

Huang, G.C., 1963. Investigations of heat-transfer coefficients for air flow through round jets impinging normal to a heat-transfer surface. J. Heat Transfer, 85(3): 237-243.

Huang, J.B., Jang, J.Y., 2013. Numerical study of a confined axisymmetric jet impingement heat transfer with nanofluids. Engineering, 5(1):60-69.

Isman, M.K., Pulat, E., Etemoglu, A.B., Can, M., 2008. Numerical investigation of turbulent impinging jet cooling of a constant heat flux surface, NUMER HEAT TR A APPL Journal, 53(10): 1109-1132.

Jaberi, B., Yousefi, T., Farahbakhsh, B., Saghir, M.Z., 2013. Experimental investigation on heat transfer enhancement due to Al 2 O 3–water nanofluid using impingement of round jet on circular disk. Int. J. Therm. Sci., 74(1):199-207.

Jambunathan, K., Button, B.L., 1994. Jet-Impingement Heat Transfer: A Bibliography 1986-1991. Previews of Heat and Mass Transfer, 20(5): 385-413.

Jambunathan, K., Lai, E., Moss, M. A., Button, B. L., 1992. A review of heat transfer data for single circular jet impingement. INT J HEAT FLUID FL,13(2): 106-115.

Jha, J.M., Ravikumar, S.V., Tiara, A.M., Sarkar, I., Pal, S. K., Chakraborty, S., 2015. Ultrafast cooling of a hot moving steel plate by using alumina nanofluid based air atomized spray impingement. Appl. Therm. Eng., 75(4): 738-747.

Kercher, D.M., Tabakoff, W., 1970. Heat transfer by a square array of round air jets impinging perpendicular to a flat surface including the effect of spent air. J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power., 92(1): 73-82.

Koopman, R.N., Sparrow, E.M., 1976. Local and average transfer coefficients due to an impinging row of jets. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 19(6):673-683.

Kornblum, Y., Goldstein, R.J., 1997. Jet Impingement on Semicylindrical Concave and Convex Surfaces: Part Two-Heat Transfer. In Intel Symposium on Physics of Heat Transfer in Boiling and Condensation: 597-602.

Krishnamuthy, S., Bhattacharaya, P., Phelen, P.E., Prasher, R.S., 2006. Enhanced Mass Transport in Nanofluids. Nano Lett., 6(3): 419-423.

Kubacki, S., Dick, E., 2011. Hybrid RANS/LES of flow and heat transfer in round impinging jets. Int. J. Heat Fluid Fl., 32(3): 631-651.

Kumar, R., Mulugeta, N., 2014. Inline Array Jet Impingement Cooling Using Al2O3/Water Nanofluid In A Plate Finned Electronic Heat Sink. AJER, 3(3): 188-196

Lam, P.A.K., Prakash, K.A., 2016. Thermodynamic investigation and multi-objective optimization for jet impingement cooling system with Al2O3/waternanofluid. Energy Convers. Manag., 111(1): 38-56.

Lasance, C., 1997. Technical data column. Electronics Cooling.

Lee, S., Choi, S.U.S., Eastman, J.A.,1999. Measuring Thermal conductivity fluids containing Oxide nanoparticles, Transactions of ASME Journal of heat transfer, 121(2): 280 - 289.

Leland, J.E., Ponnappan, R., Klasing, K.S., 2002. Experimental investigation of an air microjet array impingement cooling device, J. Thermophys Heat Transfer, 16(2): 187-192.

Li, Q., Xuan, Y., Yu, F., 2012.Experimental investigation of submerged single jet impingement using Cu–water nanofluid. Appl. Therm. Eng., 36: 426-433.

Li, W., Ren, J., Hongde, J., Ligrani, P., 2016. Assessment of six turbulence models for modeling and predicting narrow passage flows, part 1: Impingement jets. Numer. Heat Tra. Appl., 69(2):109-127.

Li, Y.Y., Liu, Z.H., Wang, Q., 2014. Experimental study on critical heat flux of steady boiling for high-velocity slot jet impinging on the stagnation zone. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 70:1-9.

Li, Y.Y., Liu, Z.H., Wang, G.S., Pang, L., 2013. Experimental study on critical heat flux of high-velocity circular jet impingement boiling on the nano-characteristic stagnation zone, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 67: 560-568.

Liu, Z.H., Qiu, Y.H., 2007. Boiling heat transfer characteristics of nanofluids jet impingement on a plate surface. Heat Mass Transfer, 43(7):699-706.

Liu, Z., Qiu, Y., 2007. Boiling heat transfer characteristics of nanofluids jet impingement on a plate surface, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 43:699-706.

Lupton, T.L., Murray, D.B., Robinson, A.J., 2008. The effect of varying confinement levels on the heat transfer to a miniature impinging air jet. Eurotherm. Eindhoven, Netherlands.

Mahbubul, I.M., Saidur, R., Amalina, M.A., 2012. Latest developments on the viscosity of nanofluids, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 55(4): 874-885.

Manca, O., Mesolella, P., Nardini, S., Ricci, D., 2011. Numerical study of a confined slot impinging jet with nanofluids, NRL, 6(1): 1-16.

Manca, O., Nardini, S., Ricci, D., Tamburrino, S., 2013, November. A Numerical Investigation on Nanofluid Laminar Mixed Convection in Confined Impinging Jets. In ASME 2013 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition (V08CT09A062-V08CT09A062). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Martin, Holger, 1977. Heat and mass transfer between impinging gas jets and solid surfaces, In: Advances in heat transfer, New York, Academic Press, Inc., 13(1):1-60.

Masuda. H., Ebata. A., Teramae. K., Hishinuma, N., 1993. Alteration of thermal conductivity and Viscosity of liquid by dispersing ultra-fine particles, Netsu Bussei, 7:227 - 233.

Meola, C., 2009. A new correlation of Nusselt number for impinging jets. Heat Transfer Eng., 30(3): 221-228.

Metzger, D. E., Korstad, R. J., 1972. Effects of crossflow on impingement heat transfer, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power, 94(1): 35-41.

Metzger, D.E., Yamashita, T., Jenkins, C.W., 1969. Impingement cooling of concave surfaces with lines of circular air jets, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power, 91(3):149-155.

Michna, G.J., Browne, E.A., Peles, Y., Jensen, M.K., 2011. The effect of area ratio on microjet array heat transfer. Int. J.Heat Mass Transfer, 54(9): 1782-1790.

Mohammed, H.A., Al-Aswadi, A., Shuaib, N.H., Saidur, R., 2011. Convective heat transfer and fluid flow study over a step using nanofluids: a review. Renew Sust. Energ. Rev., 15(6):2921-2939.

Molana, M., Banooni, S., 2013. Investigation of heat transfer processes involved liquid impingement jets: a review, Braz. J. Chem. Eng., 30(3): 413-435.

Mukherjee, S., Paria, S., 2013. Preparation and Stability of Nanofluids-A Review. IOSR J. Mechanical and Civil Engineering, 9(2): 63-69.

Nguyen, C.T., Galanis, N., Polidori, G., Fohanno, S. Popa, C.V., Le Bechec, A., 2009. An experimental study of a confined and submerged impinging jet heat transfer using Al_2O_3-water nanofluid, Int. J. Thermal Sci.,48(40):1-411.

Nguyen, C.T., Laplante, G., Cury, M, Simon, G., 2008. Experimental investigation of impinging jet heat transfer and erosion effect using Al_2O_3-water nanofluid, 6th IASME/WSEAS International Conference on Fluid Mechanics and Aerodynamics (FMA'08), Rhodes, Greece: 44-49.

Obot, N.T., Trabold, T.A., 1987. Impingement heat transfer within arrays of circular jets: Part 1—Effects of minimum, intermediate, and complete crossflow for small and large spacings. J. Heat Transfer, 109(4): 872-879.

Pak. B.C., Cho.Y.I, 1998. Hydrodynamics and heat transfer study of dispersed fluids with Submicron metallic Oxide particles. Exp. Heat Transfer, 11(2):151-170.

Palm, S. J., Roy, G. and Nguyen, C. T. (2006), Heat transfer enhancement with the use of nanofluids in radial flow cooling systems considering temperature dependent properties, Appl. Therm. Eng., 26, 2209-2218.

Prashe,R .R., Phelan, P.E., Bhaltacharya, P., 2005. Effect of aggregation kinetics on the thermal Conductivity of nanoscale colloidal solutions (Nanofluids), Nano. Lett., 6(7):1529 – 1534.

Rahimi-Esbo, M., Ranjbar, A., Ramiar, A., ,Rahgoshay, M., 2012. Numerical simulation of forced convection of nanofluid in a confined jet, Heat Mass Transfer, 48(12): 1995-2005.

Rallabandi, A.P., Rhee, D.H., Gao, Z., Han, J.C., 2010. Heat transfer enhancement in rectangularchannels with axial ribs or porous foam under through flow and impinging jet conditions, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 53(21):4663-4671.

Robinson, A.J., Schnitzler, E., 2007. An experimental investigation of free and submerged miniature liquid jet array impingement heat transfer, Exp. Therm. Fl. Sci., 32(1):1-13.

Roy, G., Nguyen, C.T., Lajoie, P.R., 2004. Numerical investigation of laminar flow and heat transfer in a radial flow cooling system with the use of nanofluids, Superlattices Microstruct, 35: 497-511.

Royne, A., Dey, C.J., 2006. Effect of nozzle geometry on pressure drop and heat transfer in submerged jet arrays, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 49(3): 800-804.

Saad, N.R., Mujumdar, A.S., Messeh, W.A., Douglas, W.J.M., 1980. Local heat transfer characteristics for staggered arrays of circular impinging jets with crossflow of spent air. ASME Paper, 80(1): 1-20.

Sagot, B., Antonini, G., Christgen, A., Buron, F., 2008. Jet impingement heat transfer on a flat plate at a constant wall temperature, Int. J. Thermal Sciences, 47(12): 1610-1619.

Selimefendigil, F., Öztop, H.F., 2014. Pulsating nanofluids jet impingement cooling of a heated horizontal surface, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 69:54-65.

Shanthi, R., Anandan, S., Ramalingam, V., 2012. Heat transfer enhancement using nanofluids: an overview, Therm. Sci., 16(2):423-444.

Shariatmadar, H., Mousavian, S., Sadoughi, M., Ashjaee, M., 2016. Experimental and numerical study on heat transfer characteristics of various geometrical arrangement of impinging jet arrays, Int. J. Thermal Sciences, 102(4): 26-38.

Shih, T.H., Zhu, J., Lumley, J.L., 1995. A new Reynolds stress algebraic equation model, Comput Methods Appl. Mech. Eng., 125(1): 287-302.

Solangi, K.H., Kazi, S.N., Luhur, M.R., Badarudin, A., Amiri, A., Sadri, R., Teng, K.H., 2015. A comprehensive review of thermo-physical properties and convective heat transfer to nanofluids, Energy, 89, 1065-1086.

Souris, N., Liakos, H., Founti, M., 2004. Impinging jet cooling on concave surfaces. AIChE J., 50(8): 1672-1683.

Teamah, M.A., ,Farahat, S., 2003. Experimental and numerical heat transfer from impinging of single free liquid jet, AEJ., 42(5):559-575.

Teamah, M.A., Dawood, M.M.K., Shehata, A., 2016. Numerical and experimental investigation of flow structure and behavior of nanofluids flow impingement on horizontal flat plate, Exp. Therm. Fl. Sci., 74: 235-246.

Tie, P., Li, Q., Xuan, Y., 2014. Heat transfer performance of Cu–water nanofluids in the jet arrays impingement cooling system, Int. J. Thermal Sciences, 77: 199-205.

Vaziei, P., Abouali, O., 2009. Numerical study of fluid flow and heat transfer for Al_2O_3-waternanofluid impinging jet, In ASME 2009 7th International Conference on Nanochannels, Microchannels, and Minichannels, ASME, 977-984.

Wang, E.N., Zhang, L., Jiang, L., Koo, J.M., Maveety, J.G., Sanchez, E., Kenny, T.W., 2004. Micromachined jets for liquid impingement cooling of VLSI chips, J. Microelectromech. Syst., 13(5): 833-842.

Wang, X., Xu, X., S. Choi, S.U., 1999.Thermal conductivity of nanoparticle-fluid mixture, J. Thermophys Heat Transfer,13(4): 474-480.

Wang, X., 2007. New approaches to micro-electronic component cooling, PhD Thesis in Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

Whelan, B.P., Robinson, A.J., 2009. Nozzle geometry effects in liquid jet array impingement, Appl. Therm. Eng., 29(11): 2211-2221.

Williams, W., Buongiorno, J., Hu, L.W., 2008. Experimental investigation of turbulent convective heat transfer and pressure loss of alumina/water and zirconia/water nanoparticle colloids (nanofluids) in horizontal tubes, J. Heat Transfer, 130(4), 402-412.

Womac, D.J., Incropera, F.P., Ramadhyani, S., 1994. Correlating equations for impingement cooling of small heat sources with multiple circular liquid jets. J. Heat Transfer, 116(2): 482-486.

Xie.H, Wang .J, Xi.T., liu.Y., 2002. Thermal conductivity of suspensions containing nano sized SiC particles, Int. J. Thermophys., 23(2):571-580.

Yang, Y.T., Lai, F.H., 2010. Numerical study of heat transfer enhancement with the use of nanofluids in radial flow cooling system. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 53:ijhmt-5895- 5904.

Yang, Y.T., Lai, F.H., 2011. Numerical investigation of cooling performance with the use of Al_2O_3/waternanofluids in a radial flow system, Int. J. Thermal Sciences, 50(1), 61-72.

Yousefi, T., Shojaeizadeh, E., Mirbagheri, H.R., Farahbaksh, B., Saghir, M.Z., 2013. An experimental investigation on the impingement of a planar jet of Al 2 O 3–water nanofluid on a V-shaped plate, Exp.Therm. FL Sci., 50: 114-126.

Yousefi-Lafouraki, B., Ramiar, A., Ranjbar, A., 2016. Numerical Simulation of Two Phase Turbulent Flow of Nanofluids in Confined Slot Impinging Jet, Flow, Turbulence and Combustion, 1-19.

Zeitoun, O., Ali, M., 2012. Nanofluid impingement jet heat transfer. Nanoscale Res Lett., 7(1):1-13.

Zhao, Y., Masuoka, T., Tsuruta, T., Ma, C.F., 2002. Conjugated Heat Transfer on a Horizontal Surface Impinged by Circular Free-Surface Liquid Jet. JSME International Journal Series B Fluids and Thermal Engineering: JSME INT J B FLUID T J., 45(2):307-314.

Zhou, M., Xia, G., Chai, L., 2015. Heat transfer performance of submerged impinging jet using silver nanofluids. Heat Mass Transfer, 51(2): 221-229.

Zhou, M., Xia, G., Chai, L., 2015. Heat transfer performance of submerged impinging jet using silver nanofluids. Heat and Mass Transfer, 51(2):221-229.

Zuckerman, N., Lior, N., 2006. Jet impingement heat transfer: physics, correlations, and numerical modeling. Advances in heat transfer: Adv. Heat Transfer, 39(1): 565-631.